



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,720	12/13/2006	Kiminobu Hirata	050203-0149	4387
31824	7590	07/28/2009	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			TRAN, BINH Q	
18191 VON KARMAN AVE.				
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92612-7108			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,720	HIRATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BINH Q. TRAN	3748	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BINH Q. TRAN. (3) ____.

(2) EUGENE WORLEY. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Murphy and Inoue et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Eugene Worley presented argument against the rejection based on Murphy et al. in view of Inoue et al.. Specifically, he argued Inoue does not show the control unit is configured to reduce an output torque of the engine so that a vehicle speed is restricted at or smaller than a predetermined value". The examiner founded the argument is persuasive. The Examiner will reconsider his position when the response is formally submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/BINH Q. TRAN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748	07/25/2009
---	------------